| 1 | EDMUND G. BROWN JR. | | |
|-----|---|---------------|--|
| 2 | Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS | | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General RON ESPINOZA | | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 176908 | | |
| 5 | 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 | | |
| 6 | P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 | | |
| 7 | Telephone: (619) 645-2100 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 | | |
| 8 | Attorneys for Complainant | TE TEXTE | |
| 9 | BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFEA INC. | | |
| 10 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 11 | | Core No. 2527 | |
| 12 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 3527 | |
| 13 | JOSE VEGA 5830 Jones Ave | ACCUSATION | |
| 14 | Riverside, CA 92505 | ACCUSATION | |
| 15 | Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52827 | | |
| 16 | Respondent. | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | Complainant alleges: | | |
| 20 | <u>PARTIES</u> | | |
| 21 | 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity | | |
| 22 | as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. | | |
| 23 | 2. On or about January 2, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician | | |
| 24 | Registration Number TCH 52827 to Jose Vega (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician | | |
| 25 | Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and | | |
| 26 | will expire on January 31, 2012, unless renewed. | | |
| ·27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | 1 | |

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,

and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

- 12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
 - (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (2) Total criminal record.
 - (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.

COST RECOVERY

13. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUG

14. Cocaine is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(6), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(April 30, 2009 Convictions- Importing Cocaine & Aiding & Abetting on January 14, 2009)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(l) of the Code in that he was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about April 30, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled *United States of America v. Jose Luis Vega and Vivian Manzo*, in the United States District Court, Southern District of California, case number 09CR00324-H, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty for violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 952 and 960, knowingly and intentionally importing 5 kilograms or more of cocaine, a Schedule II Controlled Substance, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2, aiding and abetting.
- b. The facts that led to the convictions are that on or about January 14, 2009, at approximately 0400 hours, Respondent and his female passenger sought admission into the United States at the Calexico, California, West Port of Entry. Respondent was the driver and owner of the vehicle. While a Customs & Border Protection Officer conducted a pre-primary operation, a narcotic detection dog responded to the vehicle. Subsequent inspection revealed a total of 23 packages of a white powdery substance concealed within the dashboard of the vehicle. The 23 packages had a combined net weight of approximately 28.70 kilograms (63.14 pounds) and tested positive for cocaine.

c. As a result of his convictions, on or about October 19, 2009, Respondent was sentenced to 51 months in federal prison, supervised release for 3 years, and ordered to pay an assessment fee.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Violating State Laws Regulating Controlled Substances)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 4301(j) of the Code in that on or about January 14, 2009, Respondent illegally possessed and imported a controlled substance into California in violation of Code section 4060 and Title 21, United States Code, Sections 952 and 960, as set forth above in paragraph 15, incorporated herein by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Failing to Appear in Criminal Proceeding in Missouri)

- 17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 4301 of the Code in that he committed acts constituting general unprofessional conduct as follows.
- a. On or about March 7, 2008, in a proceeding entitled *United States of America v.*Robert Earl Pulte, Jose Luis Vega, et al., in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Missouri, case number 08CR00195, Respondent was charged in a criminal complaint for violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 846, knowingly and intentionally conspiring to possess with the intent to distribute in excess of one hundred kilograms of marijuana, a Schedule I Controlled Substance. On or about July 3, 2008, the District Court granted Respondent's motion to travel for vacation with his family to Anaheim, California, through July 13, 2008. On or about August 22, 2008, the Court ordered a change of plea hearing to be heard on August 26, 2008. On or about August 26, 2008, Respondent failed to appear at the change of plea hearing and a warrant was issued for his arrest.

///

27 | ///

28 | ///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 52827, issued to Jose Vega;
- 2. Ordering Jose Vega to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

dated: <u>6/6/11</u>

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2009804964 70283707.doc